THE REVIEW WAS HELD WITH BESFERKA, EENNETT, RIDDICK, GLEASON, HEGENBARTH, SERENEETZ, RAINES, KENNEDY, VON SCHRILTZ, AND INGALLS IN ATTENDENCE. COPIES OF THE CHARTS USED ARE ATTACHED.

THERE WAS A CONSENSUS THAT C-B, BASED ON ALL THE INFOFMATIUN AVAILABLE FROM WITHIN THE COMPANY AND FROM $3 M$, DOES NOT FOSE A HEALTH HAZARD AT LOW LEVEL CHRONIC EXPOSURE.

THERE WAS AGREEMENT THAT A DEPAFTMENTAL POSITION NEEDED TO EE DEVELOPED CONCERNING THE CONTINUATION OF WORK DIRECTED AT ELIMINATION OF C-8 EXFOSURES OFF PLANT AS WELL AS TO OUR CUSTOMERS AND THE COMMUNITIES IN WHICH THEY OFERATE.

THERE WAS CONSENSUS REACHED THAT THE ISSUE WHICH WILL DECIDE FUTURE ACTION IS ONE OF CORPORATE IMAGE, AND CORPORATE LIAEILITY. LIABILITY WAS FURTHER DEFINED AS THE INCREMENTAL LIABILITY FROM THIS POINT ON IF WE DO NOTHING AS WE ARE ALREADY LIAELE FOR THE FAST JI yEARS OF GFERATION. CORPORATE IMAGE DISCUSSION CENTERED AROUND THE PERCEIVED DILIGENCE VERSUS OUR FOLICIES IF WE ELECTED TO STOP WORK.

CURRENTLY, NONE OF THE OPTIONS DEVELOPED ARE, FROM A FINE POWDER BUSSINESS STANDFOINT, ECONOMICALY ATTRACTIVE AND WDULU ESSENTIALLY PUT THE LONG TERM UIABILITY OF THIS EUSSINESS SEGMENT on the line. from a broader corforate viewfoint the costs afe SMALL.

THE EASIS FOR A DECISION AT THIS POINT IS SUEJECTIUE ANVO IS MADE MORE DIFFICULT BY OUR CURFENT UNLEESTANDING OF TECHNOLCGY AND COST, AND THE IMFACT ON THE FINE FOWDER EUSSINESS. IT'S NOT AN EASY AND OEVIOUS DICISION AS FOF EXAMPLE TBSA WAS.

FAGE 2
LOCHING AHEAD. LEGAL AND MEDICAL WILL MOST LIKELY TAKE A pOSition of total elimination. they have no incentive to take any OTHER POSITION. THE FRODUCT GROUF WILL TAKE A FOSITION THAT THE EUSSINESS CANNOT AFFORD IT. THE END RESULT, IN MY OPPINON, WILL EE THAT WE ELIMINATE ALL C-E EMMISIONS AT OUR MANUFACTURING SITES IN A WAY YET TO BE DEVELDPED WHICH DOES NOT ECONOMICALLY PENALIZE THE EUSSINESS, AND ADDRESSES THE C-G EMISSION AND EXPOSURES OF OUR DISPERSION CUSTOMERS.

SOME INFORMATION WHICH WE JUST DEVELOPED 5/21/84 IS THAT DETECTIBLE LEVELS GF C-B ARE IN BOTH THE LUBECK, W.V. AND THE LITTLE HOCKING, GHIO WATER SYSTEMS. WE SHOULD HAVE QUANTITATIVE nUMEEFS IN THE NEXT TWO WEEKS. ALSO WITH THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR CUFFENT FINE POWDER EXPANSION PLAN, WHICH TAKES CAPACITY UP TO B. 2 MMAP, THROUGH A COMBINATION OF EQUIFMENT AND RECIPE CHANGES. C-S AIR EMMISIONS WILL RISE FROM FROM THE CURRENT 12,000 LBS./YR. TO 25,200 LBS. 1 YR.. THE INCREASE FOR THE COMBINED DIVISIONS WILL INCFEASE FROM A CURRENT 16.000 TO 25.200 LES. MYR. OR A NET 9, 200 LES. DUE TO A 4,000 LB. OFFSET WITH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE TBSA PROGFAM. THIS WILL INCREASE FURTHER WITH THE INSTALLATION OF THE THIRD DRYEF ( 12 MMAP FINE POWDER ) TO AEOUT 37.000 LES./YR..

C-E WILL NOW BECOME A MAJIGR ISSUE ON ALL FURTHER PROJECT WORK: IN THE FINE POWDER AREA, STARTING WITH THE WILMINGTON SCOPE REVIEW b/29/日4. IN FREPERATION FOR THAT REVIEW I HAVE REQUESTED THE ESD GROUND LEVEL CONCENTRATION STUDY EE REDONE USING THE NEW FRODUCTION VOLUMES AND RECIPE ( $45 \%$ SOLIDS). ALSO WE HAVE INCLUDED IN THE DRAFT SCDFE OF WORK: A NEW SMALL EXHAUST SYSTEM IN THE FRONT END OF THE DRYER EED TO TRY TO CATCH MOST OF THE C-B IN A MUCH LOWER VOLLME AIR STREAM. THE FRGJECT WILL PUT THIS STREAM TO THE EXHAUST STACK. THE INTENT IS TO FIRST REDUCE IN PLANT exfosufe, and second leave a future cafability for treatment of this felatively concentrated stream.

## I EELIEVE WE NEED TO SIT EACK DOWN WITH THE NEW

 INFORMATION WE NOW HAVE, AND THE FEEDEACH: WE HAVE GOTTEN FROM THESE MEETINGS AND JOINTLY WITH FUTNAM FEVIEW JUP PLANT POSITION. RAINES AT ONE FUINT HAD REJECTED FEDUCTION AS AN OPTION. THIS needs to ee included in cuf thinting again.8/51 BEGAN USE OF C-8 IN DISPERSION POLYMERIZATION. FEW PRECAUTIONS IN HANDLING.

6/27/78 ADVISED PERSONNEL THAT 3M FOUND ELEVATED ORGANIC FLUORINE LEVELS IN BLOOD OF WORKERS EXPOSED TO FLUORINATED SURFACTANTS. STARTED INTERNAL REVIEH AND MONITORING.

9/79 PROVISIONAL AEL ESTABLISHED BY HASKEL.

3/20/81 3 M ADVISED THAT C-8 CAUSED BIRTH DEFECTS IN THE UNBORN WhEn fed by stomach tube to female rats. all female EMPLOYEES WITH POTENTIAL C-8 EXPOSURE WERE PROMPTLY TRANSFERED TO OTHER PLANT AREAS.

4/10/81 C-8 SPECIFIC BLOOD TEST DEVELOPED AND PUT IN USE.

3/1/82 STUDIES COMPLETE WHICH FIND $\mathrm{C}-8$ NOT TO BE A TERATOGEN AND NO ADVERSE HEALTH EFFECTS.

5/17/82 FINAL AEL ESTABLISHED.

## $57 / 184$


0.56 MOLES PER BILLION OR . 56 PPB
OR 10 MICROGRAMS/M3

## BLOOD LEVELS

NONE ESTABLISHED

## PUBLIC EXPOSURE LIMITS

NONE ESTABLISHED

$$
\begin{gathered}
C-8 \text { WASHINGTON WORKS POLICY } \\
\text { ESTABLISHED } 6 / 80
\end{gathered}
$$

- reduce exposure below ael by engineering controls and protective EQUIPMENT.
- REDUCE EXPOSURE BY ENGINEERING CONTROLS AND PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT SO THAT ELEVATED ORGANIC FLUORINE LEVELS IN BLOOD WILL DECREASE AND ACCUMULATION OF ORGANIC FLUORIDES IN NEW WORKERS WILL BE LIMITED.


## RESULTS

- exposures have been reduced and maintained below ael levels.
- c-8 levels in the bloco que decreasing.


 JAS/NSW
$2717 \mathrm{~W}-3$


## C-8 DATA TO-DATE

- it is not a teratogen
- IT IS NOT A MUTAGEN
- IT IS NOT AN EMBRYOTOXIN
- IT IS NOT A CARCINOGEN
- IT IS MODERATELY TOXIC
- estimated
- IT has a biological half life
of tho years in hiuman blood


## ans 5/21/84

## OFF PLANT EMISSIONS

WATER - 16.000 LBS. PER YEAR

AIR - 16,000 LBS. PER YEAR

RRODUCT - 5.000 LBS. PER YEAR

SAS 512184

|  |  | BLOOD DATA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - |  | UNKNOWN |  |
| via water | - |  |  |
| VIA AIR | - | . 026 PPM | (NON-TEFLON*) |
| viA PRODUCT | - | . 027 PPM | (spruance plant) |

C-8 PROGRAM
WATER - TBSA
AIR - DECISION ON ENGINEERING STUDY

JAS/NSW 2717W-7
**S

## ENGINEERING STUDY

define methods of removing c-8 from the fine powder dRYER EXHAUSTS STACKS:

- trailer park near plant site estimated to have annual MEAN C-8 CONCENTRATION OF . 0056 pPs (1/100 of AEL ). - estimate of prczazility of exposure at this location TO C-8 Levels above the del is . 0002.

2717W-8
SAS 5/21/84

- THERMAL DESTRUCTION
- ECONOMICS
$\$ 1 M M+$ INVESTMENT
\$IMM ANNUAL OPERATING COST

- SCRUBBING AND RECOVERY
- $\$ 3.5 \mathrm{MM}$ + INVESTMENT
- $\$ 2.5 M M$ ANNUAL OPERATING COST (APPROXIMATELY \$0.40/LB. OF PRODUCT)
- \$1.5MM DEVELOPMENT COST
- potential break-even if recovered

C-8 IS USABLE

## CURRENT ACTIVE PROGRAM ITEMS

- C-8 fate in process will depend on whether tfe dimer is USED TO REDUCE TFE EXPLOSIBILITY IN DISPERSION/FINE POWDER POLYMERIZATION.
- dIELECTRIC DRYing of fine powder is being investigated FOR ITS POTENTIAL BENEFIT in C-8 RECOVERy.
$\Rightarrow 255 / 2184$

